Spin-orbit alignment of exoplanet systems: ensemble analysis using asteroseismology

#### Tiago Campante

University of Birmingham, United Kingdom

campante@bison.ph.bham.ac.uk

August 13, 2015

Obliquities of hot-Jupiter systems:

- Measured in over 50 systems
- Mostly based on Rossiter–McLaughlin (RM) effect
- Influence of tides
  - Strong tides  $\Rightarrow$  Low obliquities
  - Weak tides ⇒ Broad obliquity range

Need to consider systems with smaller planets, longer-period planets, and multiple planets!

180 -150 ŧ, proj. obliquity [deg] 0 120 HAT P.11 h 90 60 CoBoT(3) 30 -0 0.0001 0.0010 0.0100 m,/Maa

Obliquities vs. planet-to-star mass ratio

Albrecht et al. (2012)

## Asteroseismology of *Kepler* exoplanet-host stars

- Solar-like oscillations excited by turbulent convection
- Cool-star asteroseismology with Kepler:
  - Several hundred dwarfs
  - Over ten thousand red giants
- $\sim 100$  KOIs with detected oscillations
- 1.2% precision in radius, 3.3% in mass, and 14% in age (Silva Aguirre et al. 2015)

#### KOIs with asteroseismic detections



Huber et al. (2013)

## Asteroseismology of solar-like oscillations: the basics

Power spectrum of solar-like oscillations



Chaplin et al. (2013)

## Stellar inclination angle from asteroseismology

- Non-radial modes are split by rotation
- Relative visibility of split components depends on stellar inclination



## Previous applications of the asteroseismic technique

- Few hosts with single, non-transiting large planets (e.g., Gizon et al. 2013)
- Several Kepler Sun-like hosts (e.g., Benomar et al. 2014; Lund et al. 2014)
- Kepler-56: a misaligned multi-transiting system (Huber et al. 2013)





#### Observer-oriented coordinate system

- For a transiting planet:
  - $i_{\rm o}$  from transit photometry
  - $\lambda$  from RM effect
- $i_{\rm s}$  from asteroseismology or { $R_{\rm s}, P_{\rm rot}, v \sin i_{\rm s}$ }
- Only the spin-orbit angle  $\psi$  has intrinsic physical significance

## Asteroseismic analysis: sample characterization

- 25 solar-type KOIs
- Mostly main-sequence stars (a few subgiants)
- Late F to early K
- 14 multi- and 11 single-transiting systems
- Prevalence of systems with small and long-period planets

#### Asteroseismic sample



### Asteroseismic analysis: the case of HAT-P-7



### Asteroseismic analysis: the case of Kepler-25



### Asteroseismic analysis: statistical constraints



Average posterior of  $\cos i_s$ 

## Extending the sample



## Combined sample: statistical constraints

- True distribution of spin-orbit angle  $\psi$ ?
- Hierarchical Bayesian analysis (Hogg et al. 2010)
- Model distribution function of  $\psi$  as Fisher
  - Small  $\kappa \Rightarrow$  broad distribution
  - Large  $\kappa \Rightarrow$  low obliquities
- Consistent with Morton & Winn



#### Single-Fisher model

## Combined sample: statistical constraints

0.16 Single-transiti Multi-transiting All systems Probability Density 0.10 0.08 0.06 0.02 Fisher Concentration Parameter  $\kappa$ Single-transiting Multi-transiting All systems Probability Density Fraction of Isotropic Systems f

### • Mixture model (isotropic + Fisher)

- *f* represents fraction of isotropic systems
- Obliquity distribution of single-transiting systems may be multimodal: two distinct migration channels?

- Kepler-56 (Huber et al. 2013) remains as the only unambiguous misaligned multiple-planet system
- Ensemble analysis suggests correlation between directions of stellar spin and planetary orbital axes
- Our analysis favors migration mechanisms capable of exciting large obliquities in explaining hot-Jupiter formation
- No significant difference between posteriors of single- and multi-transiting systems based on asteroseismic sample

## Outlook

# Predicted *TESS* asteroseismic yield for exoplanet hosts (full-frame images)

- Sullivan FFI data HR diagram with Detection Probability > 0.  $10^{1}$ 12.0 1.6M. (1.4Ma I band Apparent Magnit 1.2Ma  $1.0 M_{\odot}$  $10^{0}$ 0.8M-170 data points 7000 6500 6000 5500 5000  $T_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{K})$
- Obliquities of systems with evolved hosts with *TESS*?
- *PLATO* will extend these measurements to bright solar-type hosts in wide fields

M. N. Lund<sup>2,1</sup>, J. S. Kuszlewicz<sup>1,2</sup>, G. R. Davies<sup>1,2</sup>, W. J. Chaplin<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Albrecht<sup>2</sup>, J. N. Winn<sup>3,4</sup>, T. R. Bedding<sup>5,2</sup>, O. Benomar<sup>6</sup>, D. Bossini<sup>1,2</sup>, R. Handberg<sup>2,1</sup>,
A. R. G. Santos<sup>7,1</sup>, V. Van Eylen<sup>2,4</sup>, S. Basu<sup>8</sup>, J. Christensen-Dalsgaard<sup>2</sup>, Y. P. Elsworth<sup>1,2</sup>,
S. Hekker<sup>9,2</sup>, D. Huber<sup>5,10</sup>, C. Karoff<sup>11,2</sup>, H. Kjeldsen<sup>2</sup>, M. S. Lundkvist<sup>2</sup>, T. S. H. North<sup>1,2</sup>, V. Silva Aguirre<sup>2</sup>, D. Stello<sup>5,2</sup>, T. R. White<sup>12</sup>